

Effective Average Action in N=1 Super-Yang-Mills Theory

Sven Falkenberg* and Bodo Geyer†

*Universität Leipzig, Institut für Theoretische Physik
Augustusplatz 10-11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany*

Abstract

For N=1 Super-Yang-Mills theory we generalize the effective average action Γ_k in a manifest supersymmetric way using the superspace formalism. The exact evolution equation for Γ_k is derived and, introducing as an application a simple truncation, the standard one-loop β -function of N=1 SYM theory is obtained.

1 Introduction

Supersymmetric quantum field theories have attracted much attention in the last years. Some of them are low energy effective theories of superstring theories. In addition, the vacuum of the D=11 supergravity is part of the moduli space of consistent vacua of the so-called M-theory which can be considered as a more fundamental theory whose different representations are various superstring theories and a D=11 supergravity; they are related to each other by some duality transformations [1].

It is natural that one wants to have not only a perturbative approach to supersymmetric theories. A possible framework to study also nonperturbative properties of these theories is provided by Wilson's renormalization group [2]. A special application of Wilson's renormalization group approach is given by the effective average action Γ_k introduced by Wetterich and Reuter [3]. In this approach the path integral is regularized by an UV- and an IR-cutoff. In the case when the theory is renormalizable one may send the UV-cutoff scale Λ to infinity. The IR-cutoff scale k is necessary to regularize the propagators of massless fields by a scale-dependent masslike term. This "mass" vanishes in the UV-region such that the theory remains unchanged there. By construction, in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ the standard generating functional of the 1PI-Green's functions Γ is obtained. On the

*e-mail: Sven.Falkenberg@itp.uni-leipzig.de

†e-mail: geyer@rz.uni-leipzig.de

other hand, in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ the effective average action becomes the classical action S_{cl} . The evolution of Γ with respect to the scale k can be understood as increasing integrating-out of modes with momenta $p^2 > k^2$ (or averaging over these modes).

In this sense, Γ_k interpolates between S_{cl} and Γ describing an effective theory at scale k . The dependence from the scale k is controlled by an exact evolution equation (the renormalization group or flow equation). Since it is a first order differential equation, from the knowledge of some initial value Γ_Λ one can determine Γ_k for all $k < \Lambda$. In general, this evolution equation cannot be solved exactly. However, approximate solutions can be obtained by the method of truncation. In the next sections we will explain this in detail. Despite the truncation being only an approximation one usually not only obtains the results of the standard perturbation theory but also nonperturbative contributions.

The method of effective average action has been applied successfully to different aspects of gauge theories [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and to gravity (being treated as a gauge theory) [8]. Of course, it is desirable to generalize the method of effective average action also to the case of supersymmetric theories. A first attempt in this direction was the paper of Granda et al. [9] where a N=4 supergravity has been considered. The formalism used there is the same as has been used in [8] for Einstein gravity. Consequentially, since supersymmetry is broken, the result differs from that which would be obtained from a manifest SUSY theory.

Our goal in this paper is to maintain SUSY on every stage of the calculation. Therefore, it is convenient to use the superspace formalism [10, 11]. As an example we consider pure N=1 Super-Yang-Mills (SYM) theory in four dimensions. The case of usual, non-supersymmetric YM theories is treated in [4] and extended in [5, 6].

The paper is organized as follows. In the section 2 we define the effective average action Γ_k in N=1 SYM theory. We work in the superspace and use the conventions of [10]. Then, in section 3, we analyse the BRST-properties of Γ_k . The fourth section is devoted to the derivation of the exact evolution equation controlling the scale dependence of Γ_k . To find approximate solutions we restrict the space of admissible actions Γ_k and obtain a truncated evolution equation. In section 5, as an application, we introduce a simple truncation and compute the one-loop β -function of N=1 SYM theory. The obtained result is in accordance with the well-know expressions found in the literature [12].

2 Effective Average Action

In order to derive the effective average action in N=1 SYM let us consider the following generating functional¹

$$Z_k[j_V, J, \mathcal{J}; \Omega] \equiv e^{W_k[j_V, J, \mathcal{J}; \Omega]} = \int \mathcal{D}V \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-S_k[V, \Phi, j_V, J, \mathcal{J}; \Omega]} \quad (2.1)$$

where S_k is some action being invariant under, e. g., the gauge group $SU(N)$; it depends on the gauge field V having the source j_V , on the (anti-) ghost fields Φ with sources J , on the BRST-sources $\mathcal{J}$ as well as on the background gauge field Ω .

Here we use the background field method [10, 13] where the complete gauge field V_S is splitted into two background parts Ω and $\bar{\Omega}$ and the fluctuations V around this background:

$$e^{V_S} = e^\Omega e^V e^{\bar{\Omega}}. \quad (2.2)$$

For non-abelian gauge groups this splitting is highly nonlinear. It allows to write the gauge transformation of the complete gauge field in different manners. Let us first introduce covariant SUSY derivatives in a background vector but quantum chiral representation [10, 11],

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha &= e^{-\Omega} D_\alpha e^\Omega, & \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= e^{\bar{\Omega}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} e^{-\bar{\Omega}} && \text{background covariant derivatives,} \\ \mathcal{D}_\alpha &= e^{-V} \nabla_\alpha e^V, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} && \text{total covariant derivatives} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where D_α and $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ are the usual SUSY derivatives. The gauge transformation of V_S reads

$$(e^{V_S})' = e^{i\bar{\Lambda}_0} e^{V_S} e^{-i\Lambda_0}$$

with a chiral superfield Λ_0 , $\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Lambda_0 = 0$. It can be written as a transformation acting on V only but leaving Ω (and $\bar{\Omega}$) invariant (*quantum transformation*):

$$(e^{V_S})' = e^\Omega \left(e^{-\Omega} e^{i\bar{\Lambda}_0} e^\Omega e^V e^{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-i\Lambda_0} e^{-\bar{\Omega}} \right) e^{\bar{\Omega}}. \quad (2.4)$$

In this case the covariant derivatives transform as ($A = \{\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \alpha\dot{\alpha}\}$)

$$\nabla'_A = \nabla_A, \quad \mathcal{D}'_A = e^{i\Lambda_0} \mathcal{D}_A e^{-i\Lambda_0}.$$

As a second possibility we require the fluctuations V to transform homogeneously (*background transformation*):

$$(e^{V_S})' = \left(e^{i\bar{\Lambda}_0} e^\Omega e^{-iK} \right) \left(e^{iK} e^V e^{-iK} \right) \left(e^{iK} e^{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-i\Lambda_0} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

¹To obtain the Euclidean version of the Minkowskian action according to [14] a continuous Wick rotation is performed where, however, also the spinor structure simultaneously has to be rotated. For Weyl spinors one has to drop the hermiticity requirement which is in Minkowski space $\psi_L^\dagger = \psi^\dagger \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}$ if $\psi_L = \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} \psi$. The path integral is not affected by this since ψ and $\psi^\dagger$ are always treated as independent fields. As required one obtains the analytic continuations of the Green's functions.

Here K denotes a real superfield which is related to Λ_0 in the usual way (see [10, 13]). We conclude that, indeed, $V' = e^{iK} V e^{-iK}$ transforms homogeneously. Then the derivatives have the following transformation laws

$$\nabla'_A = e^{iK} \nabla_A e^{-iK}, \quad \mathcal{D}'_A = e^{iK} \mathcal{D}_A e^{-iK}.$$

As a third possibility one can require that the background transforms homogeneously; however, we will not consider this case.

Now we specify the various parts of the action

$$S_k = S + S_{\text{gf}} + S_{\text{gh}} + \Delta_k S + S_{\text{sou}}.$$

The classical gauge invariant action S reads

$$S[V; \Omega] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2g^2} \int d^8 z \text{tr} [(e^{-V} \nabla^\alpha e^V) \cdot \bar{\nabla}^2 (e^{-V} \nabla_\alpha e^V)] \quad (2.6)$$

with the coupling constant g . The integral over the chiral space has been denoted by $\int d^6 z \equiv \int d^4 x d^2 \vartheta$, while $\int d^8 z \equiv \int d^4 x d^2 \vartheta d^2 \bar{\vartheta}$; the trace tr has to be taken with respect to the gauge group.

As gauge fixing term for the fluctuation V we choose

$$S_{\text{gf}}[V; \Omega] = -\frac{1}{2\alpha g^2} \int d^8 z \text{tr} V (\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 + \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla^2) V \quad (2.7)$$

with gauge parameter α . This term is still invariant under the background transformation (2.5) because of the homogeneity of the transformation for V .

To this gauge fixing belongs the following ghost term

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{gh}}[V, C; \Omega] &= - \int d^8 z \text{tr} \left\{ (c' + \bar{c}') \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V} \left[(c + \bar{c}) + \coth \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V} (c - \bar{c}) \right] + \bar{b}b \right\} \\ &\equiv - \int d^8 z \text{tr} \left\{ (c' + \bar{c}') \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V} (c, \bar{c}) + \bar{b}b \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

with the (anti-) ghost fields $C \equiv \{c, c', \bar{c}, \bar{c}', b, \bar{b}\}$. The fields c, c' and b are background chiral whereas $\bar{c}, \bar{c}'$ and $\bar{b}$ are background anti-chiral fields; by $\mathcal{L}$ we denote the Lie-derivative $\mathcal{L}_V[A] \equiv [V, A]$.

It is convenient to solve the chirality constraint and to introduce the (anti-) ghost prepotentials $\Phi \equiv \{\psi, \psi', \bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}', \varphi, \bar{\varphi}\}$ according to

$$\{c, c', b\} = \bar{\nabla}^2 \{\psi, \psi', \varphi\}, \quad \{\bar{c}, \bar{c}', \bar{b}\} = \nabla^2 \{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}', \bar{\varphi}\}.$$

Thereby we introduced an additional gauge freedom for the (anti-) ghosts

$$\delta \psi = \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}} \quad \dots \quad (2.9)$$

with some arbitrary superfield $\bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}}$. We do not gauge this symmetry here.

The term which is essential for the effective average action is the cutoff term $\Delta_k S$. It is a masslike damping term which provides the IR regularization of the theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k S[V, \Phi; \Omega] = \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} \left[V R_k^{\text{YM}} \left(-\frac{\square_V}{k^2} \right) V + \bar{\psi}' R_k \left(-\frac{\square_+}{k^2} \right) \psi \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\psi} R_k \left(-\frac{\square_+}{k^2} \right) \psi' + \bar{\varphi} R_k \left(-\frac{\square_+}{k^2} \right) \varphi \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $\square_V$ and $\square_+$ are background covariant generalized Laplacians. R_k and R_k^{YM} are cutoff operators with the cutoff scale k [3]. They are proportional to a dimensionless damping function $R_k \sim k^2 R^{(0)}$ which smoothly interpolates between 0 and 1:

$$\lim_{k^2 \rightarrow 0} R^{(0)} = 0, \quad \lim_{k^2 \rightarrow \infty} R^{(0)} = 1 \quad (\text{p fixed}).$$

A convenient choice for the damping function is

$$R^{(0)}(p^2/k^2) = \frac{p^2/k^2}{e^{p^2/k^2} - 1}. \quad (2.11)$$

Since the cutoff term $\Delta_k S$ is bilinear it modifies the propagators of the theory in such a way that the free propagator is replaced by

$$\frac{1}{p^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2 + R_k(p^2/k^2)}.$$

This cutoff acts as a scale dependent mass vanishing for $p^2/k^2 \rightarrow \infty$. In the IR region $p^2 < k^2$ the cutoff is a mass playing the role of a regularizator. In principle one could choose different cutoffs for the different types of ghost fields but it turns out that there is no reason to do that. Therefore we use the same R_k for all ghosts. Of course, by construction, from $R_{k \rightarrow 0} = 0$ it follows that $\Delta_{k \rightarrow 0} S = 0$.

In addition we introduce the source term S_{sou} for the fields

$$S_{\text{sou}}[V, \Phi, j_V, J, \mathcal{J}; \Omega] = - \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} \left\{ j_v V + J \Phi + \tau \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V}(c, \bar{c}) + \sigma \psi \bar{\nabla}^2 \psi + \bar{\sigma} \bar{\psi} \nabla^2 \bar{\psi} \right\} \quad (2.12)$$

where $J = \{j_\psi, \bar{j}_{\bar{\psi}}, j_{\psi'}, \bar{j}_{\bar{\psi}'}, j_\varphi, \bar{j}_{\bar{\varphi}}\}$ are the sources to the ghost prepotentials Φ . Here we also introduced the BRST-sources $\mathcal{J} = \{\tau, \sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}$ which couple to the nonlinear BRST-transforms of V, ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, respectively.

For a proper definition of (2.1) one needs an UV-regularization. Of course, one would prefer a regularization which respects the symmetries of the theory, like BPHZ (see [15]). Then one may send the UV-cutoff $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. However, if such a scheme does not exist one chooses Λ much larger than every other physical scale of the theory but finite. Typically, in that case all of the relations are valid up to $O(1/\Lambda)$.

After defining the generating functional we perform a Legendre transformation. Let us first introduce the *k-dependent* mean fields

$$v := \frac{\delta W_k}{\delta j_V}, \quad \Xi := \frac{\delta W_k}{\delta J} \quad (2.13)$$

where $\Xi = \{\xi, \xi', \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi}', \beta, \bar{\beta}\}$. Then, the effective average action is defined as [3]

$$\Gamma_k[v, \Xi, \mathcal{J}; \Omega] := \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} [j_V v + J \Xi] - W_k[j_V, J, \mathcal{J}; \Omega] - \Delta_k S[v, \Xi; \Omega]. \quad (2.14)$$

As is well known the cutoff must be subtracted from the usual Legendre transform because Γ_k should fulfill the boundary conditions $\Gamma_{k \rightarrow \infty} = S_{\text{cl}}$ and $\Gamma_{k \rightarrow 0} = \Gamma$. Then this effective average action describes an effective theory at scale k averaging over modes with $p^2 > k^2$. It smoothly interpolates between the classical and the usual effective action.

Because the cutoff vanishes for $k \rightarrow 0$ it is evident that $\Gamma_{k \rightarrow 0} = \Gamma$. Let us now analyse the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$. From the definition of Γ_k it follows that

$$e^{-\Gamma_k} = \exp \left\{ - \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} [j_V v + J \Xi] + W_k + \Delta_k S[v, \Xi; \Omega] \right\}$$

which after some further steps leads to the following integral equation

$$e^{-\Gamma_k} = \int \mathcal{D}V \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp \left\{ - S_{\text{cl}} + \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} \left[(V - v) \frac{\delta \Gamma_k}{\delta v} + (\Phi - \Xi) \frac{\delta \Gamma_k}{\delta \Xi} \right] - \Delta_k S[V - v, \Phi - \Xi; \Omega] \right\}$$

with $S_{\text{cl}} = S + S_{\text{gf}} + S_{\text{gh}} + S_{\text{sou, BRST}}$. The exponential $\exp(-\Delta_k S)$ containing the cutoff operators R_k becomes proportional to a (generalized) Dirac δ -functional $\delta[V - v] \delta[\Phi - \Xi]$ equating quantum and mean fields². Therefore, we find the required result

$$\Gamma_{k \rightarrow \infty} = S_{\text{cl}} \quad (2.15)$$

ensuring that Γ_k is interpolating between S_{cl} and Γ .

3 BRST-Properties of Γ_k

One of the drawbacks of the effective average action is that the cutoff terms break BRST-invariance. This is also the case for the supersymmetric extension. It leads to a modification of the Slavnov-Taylor-identity (STI) [5, 16] which now will be specified.

To obtain a nilpotent BRST-transformation we modify the gauge fixing to [15]

$$S_{\text{gf}}^{(\alpha, B)}[V, B; \Omega] = -\frac{1}{g^2} \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} (-\alpha B \bar{B} + B \nabla^2 V + \bar{B} \bar{\nabla}^2 V) \quad (3.1)$$

by introducing Lagrangian multiplier fields B and $\bar{B}$. Their Legendre transform will be denoted by $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{\bar{b}}$. In addition we need sources for B and $\bar{B}$:

$$S_{\text{sou}}^{(\alpha, B)} = - \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} \left\{ j_v V + J \Phi + j_B B + \bar{j}_{\bar{B}} \bar{B} + \tau \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2} V}(c, \bar{c}) + \sigma \psi \bar{\nabla}^2 \psi + \bar{\sigma} \bar{\psi} \nabla^2 \bar{\psi} \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

²This analysis can be done in a more accurate way using the saddle point method.

Expressing all terms with the help of prepotentials the sum $S + S_{\text{gf}}^{(\alpha, B)} + S_{\text{gh}}$ is invariant under the following nilpotent BRST-transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
sV &= g \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V}(c, \bar{c}), \\
s\psi &= -g \psi \bar{\nabla}^2 \psi, & s\bar{\psi} &= -g \bar{\psi} \nabla^2 \bar{\psi}, \\
s\psi' &= -\frac{1}{g} \bar{B}, & s\bar{\psi}' &= -\frac{1}{g} B, \\
sB &= 0, & s\bar{B} &= 0, \\
s\varphi &= 0, & s\bar{\varphi} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

According to the transformations of S_{sou} and $\Delta_k S$ the STI obtains a symmetry breaking term Δ_k being proportional to the cutoff operators. In terms of $\Gamma'_k = \Gamma_k - S_{\text{gf}}$ the modified STI reads

$$\int d^8 z \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{\delta \Gamma'_k}{\delta v} \frac{\delta \Gamma'_k}{\delta \tau} - \frac{\delta \Gamma'_k}{\delta \xi} \frac{\delta \Gamma'_k}{\delta \sigma} - \frac{\delta \Gamma'_k}{\delta \xi} \frac{\delta \Gamma'_k}{\delta \bar{\sigma}} \right] = \Delta_k. \tag{3.4}$$

To derive it we used the anti-ghost equations of motion

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 < \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V}(c, \bar{c}) > + R_k \bar{\xi} = j_{\psi'},$$

$$\nabla^2 < \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V}(c, \bar{c}) > - R_k \xi = j_{\bar{\psi}'},$$

where $< A > \equiv Z_k^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}V \mathcal{D}\Phi A e^{-S_k}$. The term Δ_k can be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_k = & - 2 \operatorname{Tr}' \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{v\hat{\Xi}}^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k}{\delta \tau \delta \hat{\Xi}} \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{vv} \right] \\
& - \operatorname{Tr}' \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi'\hat{\Xi}}^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k}{\delta \sigma \delta \hat{\Xi}} \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi\xi'} \right] \\
& + \operatorname{Tr}' \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi'\hat{\Xi}}^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k}{\delta \bar{\sigma} \delta \hat{\Xi}} \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi'\bar{\xi}} \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{g^2} \operatorname{Tr}' \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\bar{\xi}\hat{\Xi}}^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k}{\delta \bar{j}_B \delta \hat{\Xi}} \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi'\bar{\xi}} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{g^2} \operatorname{Tr}' \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi\hat{\Xi}}^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k}{\delta j_B \delta \hat{\Xi}} \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi\bar{\xi}'} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Here we introduced a matrix notation which is very useful. $\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} \right)_{AB}$ denotes the second functional derivative of Γ_k with respect to the fields A and B . With $\hat{\Xi}$ we denote the set of *all* mean fields $\hat{\Xi} \equiv \{v, \Xi, \bar{b}, \bar{b}\}$. Also the cutoff is written as a matrix in the space of fields:

$$\left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{vv} \equiv R_k^{\text{YM}}, \quad \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi'\bar{\xi}} = \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi\bar{\xi}'} = \left(\hat{R}_k \right)_{\beta\bar{\beta}} \equiv R_k.$$

The trace in (3.5) has to be taken as $\operatorname{Tr}' \equiv \int d^8 y \int d^8 z \operatorname{tr}$.

For the functional W_k , eq. (2.1) the breaking term Δ_k can be rewritten in a much simpler way:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k = & -2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{\delta^2 W_k}{\delta \tau \delta j_V} R_k^{\text{YM}} \right] - \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\frac{\delta^2 W_k}{\delta \sigma \delta j_{\bar{\psi}'} } - \frac{\delta^2 W_k}{\delta \bar{\sigma} \delta j_{\psi'} } \right) R_k \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{g^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\frac{\delta^2 W_k}{\delta j_B \delta j_{\bar{\psi}} } - \frac{\delta^2 W_k}{\delta j_B \delta j_{\psi} } \right) R_k \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Here the traces have to be taken over the gauge group and the superspace. Because the cutoff operators decay exponentially for $p \rightarrow \infty$ the traces are UV-finite. In addition, R_k and R_k^{YM} vanish for $k \rightarrow 0$ such that also Δ_k vanishes in this limit. Then (3.4) becomes the unbroken standard STI of N=1 SYM theory [15]. Also in the UV region — where the eigenvalues of $\square_V$ and $\square_+$ are much greater than the cutoff scale k^2 — the breaking term Δ_k can be neglected.

In [15] the authors used a version of the BPHZ scheme to regularize the theory. Since the gauge multiplet V is dimensionless the scheme fails to remove all divergences: Some IR-divergences have to be removed by explicit introduction of a mass term for particular components of V . This has been done in a BRST-invariant but SUSY breaking way. In our approach such divergences are removed by the cutoff term. To see this let us consider the $1/p^4$ -part of a propagator being the worst situation causing the IR-singularities in [15]. If such a term contributes to Δ_k in (3.6) it gives rise to an integral of the form

$$I_k \sim \int_0^\infty dp p^2 \frac{1}{p^4} R_k(p^2);$$

the factor p^2 comes from the volume element. Using $R_k \sim k^2 R^{(0)}$ and (2.11) we obtain

$$I_k \sim k \Gamma(1/2) \zeta(1/2)$$

where Γ and ζ are the Gamma and Zeta function respectively. Thus $I_{k \rightarrow 0} = 0$ as is required for the vanishing of Δ_k for $k \rightarrow 0$.

All that should not hide the fact that Γ_k leads to a broken STI. Also the invariance of Γ_k under the background transformation (2.5), where the other fields transform homogeneously, cannot remedy this fact. To deal with this situation there are two possible strategies. First, one does not matter about the symmetry breaking for $k^2 > 0$, and one has to ensure that the unbroken identities are to be fulfilled for $k^2 = 0$ [5, 16]. For the non-supersymmetric effective average action this is considered in detail in [5]. It is shown there that if Γ_k fulfills (3.4) for some value $k = k_0$ it satisfies the modified STI for all $k = k'$ provided that $\Gamma_{k'}$ is obtained from Γ_{k_0} by integration of the evolution equation which will be derived in the next section (see eq. (4.1)). With this in mind the modified STI (3.4) can be used to control the breaking Δ_k .

On the other hand, it would be very desirable to have a manifest BRST-invariant formalism for any k . However, to our knowledge there exists no satisfactory consideration of that problem.

4 Evolution Equations and Truncation

Let us now write down the evolution equation for Γ_k . To this purpose we differentiate (2.1) with respect to the dimensionless parameter $t = \ln(k/k_0)$. Performing the Legendre transformation (2.13, 2.14) we obtain the *exact* evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Gamma_k = & \text{Tr} \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{vv}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{vv} \right] - \text{Tr} \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi \bar{\xi}'}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi \bar{\xi}'} \right] \\ & - \text{Tr} \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi' \bar{\xi}}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi' \bar{\xi}} \right] - \text{Tr} \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\beta \bar{\beta}}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{\beta \bar{\beta}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The trace Tr has to be understood as integration over the superspace and as usual trace over the gauge group. Equation (4.1) looks like an one-loop equation for the effective action. But there are two important differences. First, the traces are IR-regularized by the cutoff which appears as a scale dependent mass here. In addition, the factor $\partial_t \hat{R}_k$ regularizes the traces also in the UV-region. Therefore the main contributions to the traces come from the modes with momenta around the scale k ; no further UV-regularization is necessary. The second difference is the appearance of the *full* propagator instead of the free one in the one-loop case. This fact shows the renormalization group improvement of the effective average action.

Equation (4.1) is a first order differential equation. Hence, if we know the initial value Γ_Λ we can, in principle, integrate it to obtain Γ_k for all $k < \Lambda$. Obviously, the information which is contained in the evolution equation and in the STI is equivalent to the one in the generating functional (2.1). Consequently, from now on we define the theory with the help of (4.1) and (3.4) instead of (2.1). The functional (2.1) together with the symmetry properties were necessary to motivate the form of (4.1) and (3.4). They provide a simple physical interpretation of the formalism.

Now the problem appears how to solve equation (4.1). In general this will be impossible or at least very difficult. However, truncating the space of possible action functionals provides a possibility to find at least *approximate* solutions [3]: One can consider Γ_k as a sum of infinitely many terms containing an infinite number of generalized k -dependent coupling constants. These coupling constants span the parameter space of possible action functionals. Γ_k is a trajectory in this space. A truncation means that one divides the set of coupling constants into two classes — relevant and irrelevant ones with respect to the evolution. Then one makes an ansatz which contains only the few relevant ones neglecting the irrelevant ones.

We want to demonstrate this concept with the help of the following simple truncation:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_k[v, \Xi, \mathcal{J}; \Omega] &= \bar{\Gamma}_k[\Omega = v_S/2] + \hat{\Gamma}_k[v; \Omega] + S_{\text{gf}}[v; \Omega] \\ &+ S_{\text{gh}}[v, \Xi; \Omega] + S_{\text{sou, BRST}}[v, \Xi, \mathcal{J}; \Omega].\end{aligned}\quad (4.2)$$

The first term $\bar{\Gamma}_k[\Omega = v_S/2] \equiv \Gamma_k[v = 0, \Xi = 0, \mathcal{J} = 0; \Omega = v_S/2]$ is that part of the effective action which contains the contribution of $e^{v_S} = e^\Omega e^{\bar{\Omega}}$. With v_S we introduced the complete gauge mean field in analogy to V_S in (2.2):

$$e^{v_S} = e^\Omega e^v e^{\bar{\Omega}}. \quad (4.3)$$

The second term $\hat{\Gamma}_k[v; \Omega] \equiv \Gamma_k[v, 0, 0; \Omega \neq v_S/2]$ contains the contribution of $e^{v_S} \neq e^\Omega e^{\bar{\Omega}}$. It vanishes for $e^{v_S} = e^\Omega e^{\bar{\Omega}}$ because all contributions which do not vanish in this case are included in $\bar{\Gamma}_k$. This leads to the interpretation of $\hat{\Gamma}_k$ as quantum correction to the gauge fixing term S_{gf} which vanishes also for $e^{v_S} = e^\Omega e^{\bar{\Omega}}$. For the ghost term we have not included any quantum correction, i. e. we restricted ourselves to the tree approximation with respect to the ghosts.

If we look at the initial condition (2.15) we find that it is fulfilled if

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{k \rightarrow \infty} = S_{\text{cl}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{k \rightarrow \infty} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us analyse the modified STI (3.4). It is evident that $S_{\text{gf}} + S_{\text{gh}} + S_{\text{sou, BRST}}$ is invariant under BRST-transformations. Also $\bar{\Gamma}_k$ gives no contribution because it is independent of the sources, the ghosts and the field v . In the ansatz (4.2) only $\hat{\Gamma}_k$ contributes to the STI which now has the following form:

$$- \int d^8 z \, \text{tr} \, \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}_k}{\delta v} \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}V}(c, \bar{c}) = \Delta_k. \quad (4.5)$$

These properties of $\hat{\Gamma}_k$ imply a further simplification: Let us neglect $\hat{\Gamma}_k$ for all k . Thus the ansatz satisfies the unmodified STI with $\Delta_k = 0$ in (3.4). Neglecting the quantum corrections to the gauge fixing means neglect of Δ_k . On the other hand, Δ_k has the form of loop integrals which give some corrections which presumably go beyond the truncation we use here.

Summarizing the last considerations we ended up with the ansatz

$$\Gamma_k = \bar{\Gamma}_k + S_{\text{gf}} + S_{\text{gh}} + S_{\text{sou, BRST}} \quad (4.6)$$

providing a simple truncation to the effective average action. It is important that it fulfills the initial condition (2.15). Furthermore, it is distinguished by fulfilling the *unmodified* STI. Therefore the STI implies no further restriction on Γ_k in (4.6).

If we plug in the ansatz (4.6) into the exact evolution equation (4.1) we obtain the following truncated evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \Gamma_k[v; \Omega] &= \text{Tr} \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]}[v; \Omega] + \hat{R}_k \right)_{vv}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{vv} \right] \\
&- \text{Tr} \left[\left(S_{\text{gh}}^{[2]}[v, \Xi; \Omega] + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi \bar{\xi}'}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi \bar{\xi}'} \right] \\
&- \text{Tr} \left[\left(S_{\text{gh}}^{[2]}[v, \Xi; \Omega] + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi' \bar{\xi}}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{\xi' \bar{\xi}} \right] \\
&- \text{Tr} \left[\left(S_{\text{gh}}^{[2]}[v, \Xi; \Omega] + \hat{R}_k \right)_{\beta \bar{\beta}}^{-1} \left(\partial_t \hat{R}_k \right)_{\beta \bar{\beta}} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\Gamma_k[v; \Omega] \equiv \bar{\Gamma}_k + S_{\text{gf}}$. The derivatives have to be taken at the point $v = \Xi = 0$.

We see that the l.h.s. of the truncated evolution equation does not contain any deviations from the ghosts since their evolution has been neglected. But of course, the r.h.s. contains such contributions, namely the last three traces. Here the approximation leads to the appearance of the *free* regularized propagators $(S_{\text{gh}}^{[2]} + \hat{R}_k)^{-1}$ instead of the full ones.

5 Evolution Equation for the N=1 SYM Coupling Constant

As a simple application let us specify an explicit ansatz for $\Gamma_k[v; \Omega]$ and calculate the β -function of pure N=1 SYM theory. We use the simplest nontrivial possibility:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_k[v; \Omega] &= - \frac{Z_k}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \left[(e^{-v} \nabla^\beta e^v) \cdot \bar{\nabla}^2 (e^{-v} \nabla_\beta e^v) \right] \\
&- \frac{Z_k}{2\alpha g^2} \text{Tr} \left[v (\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 + \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla^2) v \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The first term is the classical invariant action where we have replaced the bare coupling constant g^2 by the renormalized one $g_k^2 \equiv Z_k^{-1} g^2$. We will not consider here the other renormalization constants. In principle, this is possible but it would go beyond the aim of this paper. The second term is the gauge fixing.

This leads to the following inverse propagator for the gauge field

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\Gamma_k^{[2]} \right)_{vv} &= - \frac{Z_k}{g^2} \left[-\nabla^\alpha \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla_\alpha - W^\alpha \nabla_\alpha + \frac{i}{2} (\nabla^\alpha W_\alpha) + \frac{1}{\alpha} (\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 + \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla^2) \right] \\
&\equiv - \frac{Z_k}{g^2} \left[\square_V + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \right) (\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 + \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla^2) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Here W^α is the background field strength. To simplify further we restrict ourselves to the case where the gauge parameter has been set $\alpha = 1$. If α would depend on the scale k it is shown in [17] — for a non-covariant gauge in non-SUSY gauge

theory — that $\alpha = 0$ is a fixed point of the evolution. The arguments used there can be extended to general linear covariant gauges and to SYM. Physical quantities are independent from the choice of the gauge parameter.

Calculating the contributions from the ghost terms we find

$$\left(S_{\text{gh}}^{[2]}\right)_{\xi\bar{\xi}'} = \left(S_{\text{gh}}^{[2]}\right)_{\xi'\bar{\xi}} = \left(S_{\text{gh}}^{[2]}\right)_{\beta\bar{\beta}} = -\nabla^2\bar{\nabla}^2. \quad (5.3)$$

With this the evolution equation (4.7) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Gamma_k &= \text{Tr} \left[\left(-\square_V + k^2 R^{(0)} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial_t (k^2 Z_k R^{(0)})}{Z_k} \right] \\ &- 3 \text{Tr} \left[\left(-\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 + k^2 R^{(0)} \right)^{-1} \partial_t (k^2 R^{(0)}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Because we did not gauge the symmetry (2.9) we restricted the trace in the last term to the antichiral subspace where $\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2$ is invertible. This fact will become obvious when we calculate the traces.

In addition we have chosen the cutoff operators as

$$R_k^{\text{YM}} = g^{-2} k^2 Z_k R^{(0)} \left(-\frac{\square_V}{k^2} \right), \quad R_k = k^2 R^{(0)} \left(-\frac{\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2}{k^2} \right) \quad (5.5)$$

with $R^{(0)}$ as in (2.11) such that the modes are cut off in a proper way as required. Because of our simple ansatz the propagators in (5.4) have the form of free (regularized) propagators. This means that this truncated evolution equation is very near to an one-loop equation.

In (5.4) we have taken all quantities at $e^{\bar{\Omega}} e^{\Omega} = e^{v_s}$. This shows the freedom in choosing a specific splitting. Our aim is to make an expansion of (5.4) with respect to $\int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha$ which must have a value being non-zero. On the other hand, the calculation should be simplified as much as possible. Therefore it is very convenient to choose $e^{\bar{\Omega}} e^{\Omega} = e^{v_s}$.

For the l. h. s. of (5.4) we find at $e^{\bar{\Omega}} e^{\Omega} = e^{v_s}$

$$\text{l. h. s.} = \frac{\partial_t Z_k}{2g^2} \int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha \quad (5.6)$$

where W^α is the background field strength. On the r. h. s. of (5.4) we use the heat kernel expansion to calculate the traces [18].

Let us define the heat kernel coefficients a_n with

$$\int d^8 z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z) (is)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} = iK(s) \quad (5.7)$$

where the kernel is defined as

$$K(s) = \int d^8 z \text{tr} \lim_{z \rightarrow z'} e^{-isO(z)} \delta^{(8)}(z - z'). \quad (5.8)$$

The operator O can be chosen as $\square_V$ or $\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2$.

Let us consider the case $O = \nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2$. Using the total chiral representation we extract from the volume element the derivatives $\int d^8 z \text{tr} = \int d^6 z \text{tr} \bar{\nabla}^2$. Then we find the chiral kernel

$$K(s) = \int d^6 z \text{tr} \lim_{z \rightarrow z'} e^{-is \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla^2} \delta^{(6)}(z - z') \quad (5.9)$$

with the chiral δ -distribution $\delta^{(6)}(z) = \bar{\nabla}^2 \delta^{(8)}(z)$. Because $K(s)$ is chiral in (5.9) we can replace $\bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla^2$ by the background covariant chiral Laplacian

$$\square_+ \equiv \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla^2 + \nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 - \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \square - i W^\alpha \nabla_\alpha - \frac{i}{2} (\nabla^\alpha W_\alpha)$$

where $\square \equiv \nabla^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$.

Now we want to perform the coincidence limit. To this purpose we need the momentum representation of δ :

$$\delta^{(6)}(z - z') = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik_a(x_a - x'_a - \frac{i}{2}(\vartheta'^{\alpha} \bar{\vartheta}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\vartheta}'^{\dot{\alpha}} \vartheta_{\alpha}))} \int d^2 \varepsilon e^{i\varepsilon^\alpha(\vartheta_\alpha - \vartheta'_\alpha)}. \quad (5.10)$$

The supermomentum ε is the Fourier transform of the supercoordinate ϑ . Equation (5.10) corresponds to the translation $(x, \vartheta, \bar{\vartheta}) \rightarrow (x', \vartheta', \bar{\vartheta}')$ in the superspace. With this the chiral kernel reads after a rescaling

$$K(s) = \frac{1}{s^2} \int d^6 z \text{tr} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} d^2 \varepsilon e^{ik^2} \exp \left[-i s \square + 2s^{1/2} k^a \nabla_a - \frac{1}{2} s (\nabla^\alpha W_\alpha) \right. \\ \left. - s W^\alpha \nabla_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} s^{1/2} W^\alpha k_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\vartheta}_{\dot{\alpha}} - i s W^\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha \right]. \quad (5.11)$$

We are searching for the first nonvanishing coefficient. Because ε is a Grassmann variable the integration over $d^2 \varepsilon$ requires a term proportional to ε^2 to be nonvanishing. If we expand the second exponential function with respect to s it becomes clear that this term is at least of order s^2 . The complete contribution to $K(s)$ from this term is proportional to s^0 or higher. From (5.7) it follows that a_4 is proportional to s^0 which is therefore the lowest nonvanishing coefficient:

$$\int d^8 z a_4(z) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha.$$

Therefore the trace of the chiral kernel in lowest order is

$$\text{Tr} e^{-is \nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha + O(s^{1/2}). \quad (5.12)$$

An analogous calculation for $\square_V$ leads to the result that the deviation from the trace of its kernel can be neglected because it is of higher order in s :

$$\text{Tr} e^{-is \square_V} = O(s^2). \quad (5.13)$$

The first nonvanishing coefficient is a_8 which is proportional to $W^2 \bar{W}^2$. In the framework of our truncation this is negligible.

For a general function $F(-\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2)$ we obtain for the trace in the framework of our approximation

$$\text{Tr}[F(-\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2)] = \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{16\pi^2} F(0) \int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha. \quad (5.14)$$

Here we have taken into account the space of fields where $\nabla^2 \bar{\nabla}^2$ acts. The ghost and gauge fields are tensors of second order in the representation of the gauge group. This leads to a factor of the dimension of this representation, which is equal to the dimension of $SU(N)$ in the adjoint representation used here.

With this result we go back to the truncated evolution equation (5.4) to express the r. h. s. as

$$\text{r. h. s.} = -3 \frac{N}{16\pi^2} \int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha. \quad (5.15)$$

Comparing (5.6) and (5.15) and dividing them by Z_k we find the anomalous dimension of $\int d^6 z \text{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha$

$$\eta_k \equiv -\frac{\partial_t Z_k}{Z_k} = N \frac{3}{8\pi^2} g_k^2. \quad (5.16)$$

Together with $\partial_t g_k^2 = \partial_t Z_k^{-1} g^2 \equiv \beta(g_k)$ we find the evolution equation for the N=1 SYM coupling constant

$$\partial_t g_k^2 = \eta_k g_k^2 = N \frac{3}{8\pi^2} g_k^4 \quad (5.17)$$

which we intended to derive. Their solution

$$g_k^2 = g_0^2 \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{8\pi^2} N g_0^2 \ln(k/k_0)} \quad (5.18)$$

fixes the action (5.1) uniquely.

The r. h. s. of (5.17) is the well-known one-loop β -function of pure N=1 SYM theory [12]. It is remarkable that neither it depends on the specific shape of the cutoff function $R^{(0)}$ nor on the gauge parameter. This expresses the scheme independence of the one-loop contribution to the β -function which is in accordance with refs. [12] where very different methods, such as loop calculations with dimensional regularization or the instanton calculus, have been used.

Normally, even a simple ansatz such as (5.1) leads not only to one-loop contributions but also to higher ones (see e. g. [4]). In SYM theory, because of (5.13), this is not the case. This is an indication of how non-renormalization theorems could work within this approach.

But to demonstrate the full power of our method it would be desirable to do the calculation with an improved ansatz allowing for the renormalization of additional fields and containing higher invariants such as $W^2 \bar{W}^2$ which are not

out of the question to be generated during the evolution. Such an analysis will bring a lot of technical problems, e.g. it is not clear how to calculate the traces of the appearing operators. In addition, considering e.g. finite theories, one can study non-renormalization theorems.

6 Conclusion

We generalized the effective average action to the superspace formalism which opens the possibility to do any calculation in a manifest supersymmetric way. Using as an example the N=1 SYM theory, we defined the effective average action (2.14). With the modified STI (3.4) we found a possibility to control the breaking of the BRST invariance of Γ_k . Then we derived the exact evolution equation (4.1). Because we were not able to solve this equation exactly, we used a truncation (4.2) to simplify (4.1) leading to the truncated evolution equation (4.7). As an example of a possible truncation we made the ansatz (5.1) to calculate the one-loop contribution to the β -function in N=1 SYM theory (5.16).

To extend the aim of the paper it would be interesting to generalize Γ_k to theories with multiple SUSY. In principle, this should cause no great difficulties. In addition, it would be very interesting to consider supergravities. Then the effective average action has to be applied to local supersymmetries. This may be not unrealistic since in [8, 9] the effective average action has been considered in (Einstein) gravity, partially with additional fields (all spins from $s = 0$ to $s = 2$). On the other hand, we have shown here that a cutoff can be introduced without breaking (global) SUSY. There is no contradiction between these works preventing a consistent cutoff in supergravity.

Note added: After the publishing of this article in hep-th Bonini et. al. published a paper [19] about Wilson renormalization group in SUSY. Their approach is in the spirit of [16] emphasising the perturbative features of Wilson RG.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Reuter as well as U. Ellwanger and J. Pawłowski for helpful and enlightening discussions. S.F. has been supported by DFG, project GRK 52.

References

- [1] W. Lerche, hep-th/9710246.
J. H. Schwarz, hep-th/9711029.
- [2] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, *Phys. Rep.* **12**, 75 (1974).
F. Wegner and A. Houghton, *Phys. Rev. A* **8**, 401 (1973).
J. Polchinski, *Nucl. Phys. B* **231**, 269 (1984).

- [3] C. Wetterich, *Phys. Lett. B* **301**, 90 (1993).
M. Reuter and C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys. B* **427**, 291 (1994).
M. Reuter, hep-th/9602012.
- [4] M. Reuter and C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys. B* **417**, 181 (1994).
- [5] U. Ellwanger, *Phys. Lett. B* **335**, 364 (1994).
- [6] U. Ellwanger, M. Hirsch and A. Weber, *Z. Phys. C* **69**, 687 (1996).
U. Ellwanger, *Z. Phys. C* **76**, 721 (1997).
- [7] M. Reuter, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **12**, 2777 (1997).
C. Wetterich, *Z. Phys. C* **72**, 139 (1996).
M. Reuter and C. Wetterich, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 7893 (1997).
U. Ellwanger, hep-ph/9710326.
D. U. Jungnickel and C. Wetterich, hep-ph/9710397.
- [8] M. Reuter, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 971 (1998).
S. Falkenberg and S. D. Odintsov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **13**, 607 (1998).
D. Dou and R. Percacci, hep-th/9707239.
- [9] L. N. Granda and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **409**, 206 (1997).
- [10] S. J. Gates, M. T. Grisaru, M. Roček, W. Siegel, "Superspace", Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Comp., Reading, MA (1983).
- [11] J. Bagger, J. Wess, "Supersymmetry and Supergravity", Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1992).
- [12] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakarov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **229**, 381 (1983).
M. A. Shifman and A. I. Vainshtein, **277**, 456 (1986).
M. T. Grisaru, B. Milewski and D. Zanon, *Phys. Lett. B* **155**, 357 (1985); **157**, 174 (1985); *Nucl. Phys. B* **266**, 589 (1986).
M. T. Grisaru and D. Zanon, *Nucl. Phys. B* **252**, 578 (1985).
D. R. T. Jones and L. Mezincescu, *Phys. Lett. B* **136**, 242 (1984).
E. Abdalla and M. C. B. Abdalla, *Phys. Lett. B* **160**, 101 (1985).
- [13] M. T. Grisaru, M. Roček, W. Siegel, *Nucl. Phys. B* **159**, 429 (1979).
L. F. Abbott, *Nucl. Phys. B* **185**, 189 (1981).
- [14] P. van Nieuwenhuizen and A. Waldron, *Phys. Lett. B* **389**, 29 (1996).
A. Waldron, hep-th/9702057 and references therein.
- [15] O. Piguet, K. Sibold, "Renormalized Supersymmetry", Birkhäuser, Boston (1986).

- [16] C. Becchi, "On the construction of renormalized quantum field theory using renormalization group techniques" in: Elementary particles, Field theory and Statistical mechanics, eds. M. Bonini, G. Marchesini and E. Onofri, Parma University, 1993.
M. Bonini, M. D'Attanasio and G. Marchesini, *Nucl. Phys. B* **437**, 163 (1995); **421**, 429 (1994); **418**, 81 (1994); *Phys. Lett. B* **346**, 87 (1995).
M. D'Attanasio and T.R. Morris, *Phys. Lett. B* **378**, 213 (1996).
- [17] D.F. Litim and J.M. Pawłowski, hep-th/9802064.
- [18] I.N. McArthur, *Phys. Lett. B* **128**, 194 (1983).
I.N. McArthur and T.D. Gargett, *Nucl. Phys. B* **497**, 525 (1997).
- [19] M. Bonini and F. Vian, hep-th/9802196.